Report and Recommendations of the AFC RPT Committee Presented September 2005

Members: Notice Lansford, Chair; Tom Phillips, Udaya DeSilva, and Bill Weeks. Dave Engle was serving on the committee until his departure from OSU this summer.

In keeping with the committee's duty to regularly review the RPT policies of departments within DASNR and provide advice and constructive feedback regarding clarity and fairness of procedures, we requested a copy of the RPT policies of every department, reviewed them, and include a summary in this report. Further, we make four recommendations to AFC to relay to Dean Whitson for his consideration and a fifth recommendation to AFC for future AFC RPT committee work.

Recommendations:

- 1. That every department have a standing RPT committee (sometimes referred to as Faculty Committee).
- 2. That each department's RPT committee play a role, that is, participate in the annual appraisal of all junior faculty. That role would be to provide additional feedback to junior faculty with regard to progress toward tenure and/or promotion as perceived by the RPT committee. This feedback need not interfere with the role of the department head. In fact, it could be provided following the annual appraisal. Because the department RPT committee that will eventually play a major role in the faculty member's tenure and/or promotion, regular feedback from that committee seems appropriate and beneficial.
- 3. That each department's policy regarding letters of reference be clear. The committee is familiar with a current campus case (outside DASNR) pending litigation that may have been mitigated by a more clear policy regarding letters of reference.
- 4. That each department's RPT policy explicitly make reference to University and DASNR policies and explicitly state conformity with them. An explicit statement clearly communicates to faculty the department's determination to operate within these policies without having to restate all of them and without leaving a faculty member to wonder if the department's policies supersede other policies.
- 5. That AFC authorize the AFC RPT committee to study: (1) split appointments such as faculty in FAPC and (2) what constitutes good teaching performance.

These recommendations do not imply that DASNR departments that have written policies are inadequate. Rather these recommendations are intended to strengthen existing policies and encourage a few departments to create and expand policies in a constructive and beneficial manner. Ultimately the goal remains the same: recruit, grow, and sustain high quality faculty.

The attached table is an attempt to summarize several important aspects of each department's RPT policies and procedures. No table is sufficient to truly capture all aspects of department policies and procedures, so there is no substitute for a careful reading of the complete policies and procedures of any department. The purpose of the table is to get a general comparison of the various DASNR departments in term of RPT. Hence, the categories used are meant to enhance comparison and contrast – to indicate similarities and differences. Some differences are significant and others are not. For example, Forestry convenes and RPT committee only when needed whereas most departments seem to have a standing RPT committee. In Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, the RPT has an active, annual role in the evaluation of non-tenured faculty. In other departments, this doesn't seem to be the case. Both of these may be considered significant differences. On the other hand, some departments' policies and procedures more or less repeat University or DASNR policies and procedures, whereas other departments find it sufficient to cite University and DASNR policies and procedures. These may be considered insignificant differences. Significance or insignificance may differ from one reader to another. Hence, the following summary remarks may or may not constitute significance, depending upon one's point of view.

Policies and procedures for most departments are fairly similar. The most obvious differences seem to be in the size, composition, term, and election of RPT committee members. Size generally varies from 3 to 5 members and term of service varies from 1 to 5 years. Members are generally elected by faculty. Several departments stress that the committee should consist of a cross-section of faculty across research, teaching, and extension. Differences abound, however. In Horticulture, the Dept. Head may adjust the RPT composition if it isn't representative. Plant and Soil Science has the chairman of their Faculty Advisory Committee as a permanent member of the RPT committee. In Ag Communications, ... the Dept. Head appoints the committee. The Biochemistry ... RPT plays an active role in the annual appraisal of non-tenured, tenure-track faculty. Forestry RPT is only convened when needed.

Other differences in RPT policies and procedures are the number of external and internal letters of reference required, how the slate of references is created, and who picks the references that will actually be used. Three or four external reference letters are usually required. Sometimes one, sometimes two of the candidate's suggested references are used.

A final variation across departments is the extent to which they detail the criteria for each RPT case. Biochemistry, for example, explicit addresses virtually all conceivable cases: the normal reappointment, promotion, and tenure cases of traditional tenure-track faculty, the cases of adjunct faculty at all levels, the case of research-track faculty at all levels, and the cases of research professor-track faculty at all levels, plus Regents professorships. Other departments address only the traditional RPT instances.

Clearly, much is good across DASNR, yet there is room for improvement. Ag Communications may need to write a set of policies and procedures. Other departments may need to at least begin addressing post-tenure evaluation since the Board of Regents has expressed strong support for it.

Written RPT Materials		Research, &	Addressing Tenure, Assist, Assoc, full Prof, etc.		Composition of Unit RPT Committee	Appraisals	Letters of Reference promotion to	Letters of Reference promotion to	Other notes
Formal Procedures:			Data illad a satisfactione				Assoc.	Prof.	
	"Procedures and Responsibilities of the Faculty Committee" a 25 page document consistent with University and DASNR policies, addressing most situations and circumstances in	Criteria for "Teaching and Advising." Research," "Extension," and for "Professional Service" are detailed	prof., and Endowed prof., plus adjunct, temporary appointments, and		committee elects chair; only full professors;	Annual appraisals of all faculty but associate and full prof have formal appraisal once every 3 years.	least one from the list	the list	Document includes faculty vote tallies regarding this procedures" document in 1991, 1994, 1997, and 2000.
Ag Education, Communications and 4-H	Handbook, and Sept. 3, 2004 memorandum from Dr. Strathe, Provost. Additional Dept. documents were	Definitions of Teaching, Advising, Extension, Research, and Service	Discussion of Instructor, Assistant, Associate, and Professor.	Attached Dept. documents state that initial Assist. Prof. appointment is 3 years. (OSU policy says 4 years.) States that initial Associate appointment is 4 years. (OSU says normally 5 years.)		Cover letter says annual appraisals are conducted.	no info	no info	
Animal Science	15 page "Qualifications for Faculty Appointment and/or Promotion in the Dept of An Sci." Typical language in conformity to University and DASNR policies.	Teaching, Research	Has some specific requirements for each level. E.g. assoc. prof scholarly activity in research is being s "1st or 2nd author" or, "orignial research" in a "reputable journal" "peer reviewed by national or international scientists."		Existence of an RPT committee is mentioned but no details.				
Biosystems and Ag Englneering	"Procedures for the Composition and Conduct of a Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion Committee"; "Policy and Procedure for Screening and Selection for Faculty Positions"; "Criteria for Faculty Appointment and/or Promotion" All seem fairly consistent with University and DASNR policies.		Criteria for Instructor Assistant, Associate and Professor	Assistant prof initial appointme for 4 yrs; reappointment for 2 yrs. (OSU policy says reappointment "may" be grante for 3 yrs.) Assoc. initial, appointment is 5 yrs. Policy, states that Dept will conform to University policy.	term; Others - 1 yr; 1 Extension; 1 Research ad 1 Teaching, 2 at-large Profs cannot serve consecutive terms.	Annual written appraisals for n, Instructors and s; Assistant Profs. Formal written appraisal for Assoc	.		Person being considered may confer directly with RPT committee
Entomology and Plant Pathology	Closely conforms to University and DASNR procedures language.	no definition of position or requirements	no definition of position or requirements		6 members (3 from En + 3 from Plant Path); tenured faculty; nominated & elected by faculty; 6 yr term; Chair during 6th year.	Annual appraisals for	4 external an 1 internal or selected by Head and RF	d 4 external, selected by T Head and RP	т

Written RPT Materials		Research, &	Addressing Tenure, Assist, Assoc, full Prof, etc.	Variances.				Letters of Reference	Other notes
Forestry	"Reappointment, Promotion, and Tenure Standards and Procedures"; a relatively short document, specifically referencing university and DASNR documents.	"Suggested Criteria in Each Mission" I.e. Research, Teaching, and Extension.			Created only as needed. Minimum of 4 members, all tenured destry; chair destry; chair destr		At least 3 etters from external reviewers chosen from a slate of references.		Recommendation letter signed by ALL members of the committee.
Horticulture and Landscape Archite	Relatively lengthy document spelling out many details by level, appointment, subject matter, etc. Consistent with c University Faculty Handbook, Appendix D.	Yes	Differentiates acceptable output of Horticulture from that of Landscape (p. 4). Differentiates teaching, research, and extension roles.		5 member RPT committee elected annually from tenured faculty not currently being considered for RPT. Dept Head appoints the Chair and has right to adjust membership if not representative.				Individual "should be performing in most of the relevant expectations of the next higher rank to be promoted." Discussion of Instructor, Assistant, Associate, and Professor plus Regents Prof and Endowed Chairs/Professorships. Two requirements at each level.
Plant & Soil Science	Closely conforms to University and DASNR procedures language. Lengthy discussion with numerous examples. Appendices lay out University policy and Regents Professor appointment/reappointment.	Definitions of Teaching, Extension and Research Positions.	Discussion of Assistant, Associate, and Professor, plus, Regents Professor. Standards for each. Specific criteria.	Post tenure review is addressed. Unsatisfactory rating by Head, 2 years in a row is grounds for dismissal. Explicit indicators of failure, rights of appeal, role of special Post-Tenure Review (PTR). Committee and Head are set forth. 4/5 of PTR Com must agree with Head to effect dismissal.	section of subject matter interests. Chairman of the Faculty Advisory				
Blochemistry & Molecular Blology	Yes, 2005 final (or near final) draft of extensive written procedures citing conformity with Appendix D policy statement, Policy and Procedures Letter 2-0901:, and DASNR Academic Rank Descriptions.	Explicit definition of teaching, research, "professional and university service", and extension.	Explicit discussion of RPT not only for tenure-track but also adjunct faculty, research-track, and research professor- track.		3 member, elected from faculty, 3 year staggered term. 3rd year as chair.	RPT committee meets annually to evaluate non- tenured, tenure-track faculty. Faculty review and vote regarding all RPT decisions.	outside references, has suggested by	suggested by	Fairly explicit criteria for footh reappointment and promotion and tenure for all faculty, tenure track or non-tenure track, includin regents professor.

FAPC

			Entomology and Plant Pathology
Explicit Instructions on screening and selecting new faculty.	ation	of Head or Committee is negative, All discussions of candidate has RPT committee option to without are strictly name from confidential.	Biosystems and Ag Engineering
			Animal Science
			Ag Education, Communications and 4-H
	Procedures by which RPT committee reviews Dept head including a survey of faculty. Survey form attached.	Outline of candidate resume that committee requires.	Ag Economics
			Formal Procedures:
	Other notes	Other notes	

Assistant prof of Each new faculty certifies in writing, A mentor is only a Master's the receipt of the assigned to each from an institution Dept Standards new faculty to help accredited by and Expectations frem until tenure Lenscape Arch Horticulture and Landscape Architec document. decision is made. Accred Board. Plant & Soil Science			Biochemistry & Molecular Biology Explanation of Practices: FAPC
	A mentor is assigned to each new faculty to help them until tenure decision is made.	Each new faculty certifies in writing, the receipt of the Dept Standards and Expectations:	ture and Landscape Archite